	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	08/449,097	HARVEY ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	MICHAEL J. MOORE, JR.	2467	
All Participants:	Participants: Status of Application: <u>Ex Parte Quayle</u>		
(1) MICHAEL J. MOORE, JR.	(3) <u>Carl L. Benson (Reg. No. 38,378)</u> .		
(2) <u>Thomas J. Scott, Jr. (Reg. No. 27,836)</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 28 October 2009	Time: <u>2:30pm</u>		
Type of Interview:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed: Claims 4, 57, 58, 107, 108, 131, and 134 were discussed.			
Prior art documents discussed: Yanagimachi et al. (U.S. 3,936,595), Rumreich (U.S. 4,670,904), Christensen et al. (U.S. 4,271,468), Brennand et al. (U.S. 4,744,080)			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet			
Part III.			
 □ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. □ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 			
/Michael J. Moore, Jr./ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2467 (A)	pplicant/Applicant's Representati	ive Signature – if appropriate)	

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Amendments to independent claims 4, 57, 58, 107, 108, 131, and 134 that would obviate the Yanagimachi, Rumreich, Christensen, and Brennand references of record were suggested to Applicant by Examiner. Applicant agreed to incorporate these suggested amendments which are provided in the attached Examiner's Amendment.

Upon Applicant complying with the Administrative Requirement, the application may then proceed to allowance..